

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,483	05/08/2004	Timothy Kingston	7589.165.PCUS00	1684
28694 7590 01/03/2007 NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP		EXAMINER		
1300 EYE STREET NW			KING, BRADLEY T	
400 EAST TOV WASHINGTO	· · ·	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3683	
•				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	ion No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/709,483	KINGSTON ET A	KINGSTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	, Art Unit				
		Bradley T. King	3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMM 1.136(a). In no event, however, n od will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to become	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed NONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 October 2006					
•		his action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		,				
· _		in the application					
	4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-10 and 14-23 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1.2 and 4-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[_	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or alaction requiremen	•				
<i>ا</i> ــا(٥	ciain(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requiremen	3				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 8	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	k(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Inten	view Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		e of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,483

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "the second portion having an orthogonal relationship to the first portion and the third portion," of claim 1 lacks antecedent basis in the disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.Ş.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 has been amended to include, "at least one race formed therein for alignment with at least an opposing race in an inner face of an annular part overlapping at least a portion of the outer surface to provide a bearing unit comprising the outer surface and the annular part to retain balls between the at least one race and the at least an opposing race." The language of this recitation is unclear. Further, the

Application/Control Number: 10/709,483

Art Unit: 3683

recitation "for alignment with at least an opposing race" which appears to suggest the inner race aligning with more than an outer race. The scope of these limitations in not clear and the original disclosure fails to provide proper antecedent basis.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite "adapted for secure connection to a hub from which the annular part extends to overlap the outer surface," The original disclosure fails to provide antecedent basis for this limitation nor is it clear what is encompassed by "secure connection".

Claim 1 has been amended to recite "the annular member providing a unitary assembly for directly connecting the hub to the axle case." The addition of the term "directly" fails to have antecedent basis in the original disclosure, nor is it clear what the term is meant to encompass. Note the hub appears to be mounted to the axle case through the annular part, the bearings and the annular member, and therefore does not appear to be particularly "direct".

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments (see page 7, last two lines to page 8, first full paragraph), filed 10/03/2006, with respect to Jirousek et al have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims in view of Jirousek et al has been withdrawn.

Regarding Kingston, the reference lacks the added limitations of the third portion having an outer surface having at least one race formed therein and an inner surface provided with teeth and forming a ring gear. The rejection of the claims in view of Jirousek et al has been withdrawn. Please note the 12 1st paragraph rejection above.

Art Unit: 3683

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley T. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-7117. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00-7:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571) 272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,483 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BTK

BRADLEY KING